Evaluation Connecticut Humanities

Partnership Grants FY2023

Connecticut Humanities

Evaluation Questions

Project Title

Name of Project

Character Limit: 150

CTH Funds Requested

Character Limit: 20

Support for the Humanities*

Using the following scale, rate the organization's effectiveness at delivering humanities programming to the public.

0=Organization does not deliver humanities programming to the public currently or humanities programming is ineffective.

5=Organization does good job at delivering humanities programming to the public.

10=Organization delivers stellar humanities programming to the public that is a model for others to learn from and follow.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Partnership Justification*

Using the following scale, rate how well the proposed partnership will help CTH achieve its statewide strategic goals and objectives in an area that CTH does not currently address.

0=Proposed partnership will not help CTH achieve strategic goals and objectives or proposed partnership is for area that CTH already addresses

5=Proposed partnership will help CTH achieve some of its strategic goals and objectives; proposed partership is for area that CTH does not address, but may not be of highest priority.

10=Proposed partnership will help CTH achieve multiple strategic goals and objectives in high priority areas that CTH does not currently address.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Partnership Description & Activities*

Using the following scale, rate the applicant's description of the proposed partnership and activities that CTH is asked to support.

0=Partnership details and description unclear, disorganized, and/or missing required information or project's humanities goals unclear and/or without sufficient plan for achieving them.

Evaluation Connecticut Humanities

5=Project is articulated, but lacking some details; humanities goals are articulated, but evaluation methods may not adequately measure intended objectives.

10=Project plan is well-organized and cogent; humanities goals are clear; evaluation plan is solid; high likelihood of valid assessment of learning objectives

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Project Schedule*

Using the following scale, rate the proposal's project schedule:

0=Project activities occur outside of the grant period or the project schedule is inappropriate for the project.

3=Project schedule is adequate; some minor concerns with the schedule may exist.

5=Project schedule is well thought out, articulated, achievable, and likely to lead to a successful final product.

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Rate the quality of the Project Team.*

Using the following scale, rate the quality of the Project Team.

0=Inappropriate/non-pertinent humanities scholarship present in project;

3=Appropriate/ pertinent humanities scholarship evident in project;

5=Stellar humanities scholarship demonstrating multiple voices/perspectives

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Budget & Expenses*

Using the following scale rate the validity and clarity of grant budget expenses:

0=Unexplained, questionable expenses included with eligible expenses

3=Eligible expenses with little detail and/or minor budget concerns

5=Expenses are explained and well justified

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Financial Support*

Using the following scale rate the breadth of financial support for the project:

1=Entire 1:1 match made with in-kind contributions

2=Match includes mix of in-kind contributions and applicant cash, but no external cash

3=Match includes external cash in addition to applicant cash and in-kind contributions

Scoring Options: 1-3

Evaluation Connecticut Humanities

Interpretation and Connection to the Humanities*

After considering the overall description and information contained in the application, use the following scale rate to the overall quality of the humanities content:

0=Humanities theme(s) are not interpreted or well conveyed/ easily understandable by the audience;

5=Humanities theme(s) are adequately interpreted and conveyed to the audience;

10=Humanities themes are well interpreted and conveyed, allowing the audience to better analyze their complex society and make thoughtful, reasoned decisions based on inquiry, evaluation, and empathy

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Additional Comments

Character Limit: 2000