Capacity Grants FY2022

Connecticut Humanities

Evaluation Questions

Project Title

Name of Project

Character Limit: 150

CTH Funds Requested

Character Limit: 20

Project Description & Background*

Using the following scale, rate the project design, background, and justification.

0=Project description and background unclear, disorganized; capacity building process and roles of major participants unclear or undefined; and/or missing required information to fully evaluate project

5=Project is articulated and explained, but lacking some details/ questions about some parts of the project remain/ or need for project not fully explained

10=Project plan is well-organized and cogent; capacity building project well justified and likely to positively impact organization

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Project Goals & Impact*

Using the following scale, rate the efficacy of the project goals and impact they will have on the organization as described in the application.

0=Project goals are unclear, no way to measure impact they will have on the organization or its capacity to better deliver humanities programming after completion.

5=Goals articulated, but some questions remain about specific deliverables or impact.

10=Project goals are clear; plan is solid; project has high likelihood of having a positive impact on organization and its operations.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Support for the Humanities*

Using the following scale, rate the applicant's current and future ability to deliver humanities content and programming to the public:

0=The organization does not currently deliver strong humanities content or programming to the public and capacity building project unlikely to help organization to do so in the future.

3=Organization currently delivers strong humanities content or programming to the public, but unclear if capacity building project will substantially help organization increase its capacity to do so after completion.

5=Project likely to substantially help the organization increase its capacity to deliver humanities content and programming to the public in the future.

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Project Schedule*

Using the following scale, rate the proposal's project schedule:

0=Project activities occur outside of the grant period or the project schedule is inappropriate for the capacity project.

3=Project schedule is adequate; some minor concerns with the schedule may exist.

5=Project schedule is well thought out, articulated, achievable, and likely to lead to a successful final product.

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Rate the quality of the Project Team.*

Using the following scale, rate the quality of the Project Team.

0=Inappropriate/non-pertinent project team members and consultants for project;

3=Appropriate/ pertinent project team members and consultants for project;

5=Stellar project team members and consultants for project.

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Budget & Expenses*

Using the following scale rate the validity and clarity of grant budget expenses:

0=Unexplained, questionable expenses included with eligible expenses

3=Eligible expenses with little detail and/or minor budget concerns

5=Expenses are explained and well justified

Scoring Options: 0 - 5

Financial Support*

Using the following scale rate the breadth of financial support for the project:

1=Entire 1:1 match made with in-kind contributions

2=Match includes mix of in-kind contributions and applicant cash, but no external cash

3=Match includes external cash in addition to applicant cash and in-kind contributions

Scoring Options: 1 - 3

Consultant Proposal*

Using the following scale, rate the consultant's proposal for the project:

0=No proposal submitted and/or inappropriate or problematic proposal submitted for project.

5=Project proposal submitted is adequate for project and/or minor concerns exist.

10=Consultant proposal is stellar, well defined, and constructed, articulating a project plan highly likely to be successful.

Scoring Options: 0 - 10

Additional Comments

Character Limit: 2000